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## Introduction of annihilation, creation operator and Occupation number

## states

## I Quantum condition for system of particle:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]=0 ;\left[\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]=0 ;} \\
{\left[\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]=\mathrm{i} \delta_{\mathrm{mn}}} \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

## II Quantum condition for system field:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\psi(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t}), \psi\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{t}\right)\right]=0 ;\left[\psi^{\dagger}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t}), \psi^{\dagger}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{t}\right)\right]=0} \\
& {\left[\psi(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t}), \psi^{\dagger}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{t}\right)\right]=\delta^{3}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Non Relativistic Schrödinger wave equation

Hamiltonian Formalism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{s}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}\left[\frac{-1}{2 m} \psi^{*} \nabla \psi^{3}+\mathrm{v} \psi+\psi\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\psi$ is wave function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathrm{H}=\int \mathrm{d}^{3}-\overline{\mathrm{r}}\left[\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \bar{\nabla} \psi^{\dagger} \bar{\nabla} \psi+\mathrm{V} \psi^{\dagger} \psi\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\psi$ is field operatof and $\psi^{\dagger}$ is Hermitian conjugate of $\psi$.
Non Relativistic Schrodinger equation-is: कइसो वन्तु विश्वृ:

$$
\mathrm{i} \psi=[\psi, \mathrm{H}]
$$

Expansion of $\psi, \psi^{\dagger}$ in terms of eigen function $u_{k}$ and other complex conjugates:-

Consider $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{k}=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots \ldots) \longrightarrow$ complete family of orthonormal eigen function of system of particles or field.

Orthonormality condition:
Completeness relation:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}^{*} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{l}}=\delta_{\mathrm{kl}}  \tag{6}\\
\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}^{*}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}\right)=\delta^{3}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Expansion of $\psi: \psi(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t})=\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}})}$
Where $u_{k}$ is c-number and $a_{k}(t)$ is q-number.
Eq.(7) can be solved to obtained $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t})$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}  \tag{8}\\
& \psi(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}^{*}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}})=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}} \sum_{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{l}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{l}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}})} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}^{*}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}})  \tag{9}\\
& \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}} \psi(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}^{*}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}})=\sum_{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{l}}(\mathrm{t}) \delta_{\mathrm{kl}}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t})
\end{align*}
$$

Hermitian Conjugate of Eq.(9) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\dagger}(\mathrm{t})=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime} \psi^{\dagger}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\dagger}(\mathrm{t})\right] } & =\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}\right)\left[\psi(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t}), \psi^{\dagger}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{t}\right)\right] \\
& =\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}\right) \delta^{3}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{3} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}) \\
& =\delta_{\mathrm{kk}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}(\mathrm{t})\right]=0} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\dagger}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}^{\dagger}(\mathrm{t})\right]=0} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}^{\dagger}(\mathrm{t})\right]=\delta_{\mathrm{kk}^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Number Operator:

$$
\psi(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t})=\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{r})} \text { and } \psi^{3}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{t})=\sum_{\mathrm{k}}^{2} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}})} \text { वत्तु विशबत: } 0
$$

From Eq.(4)
$\mathrm{H}=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}\left[\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \bar{\nabla} \psi^{\dagger} \bar{\nabla} \psi+\mathrm{V} \psi{ }^{+} \mathrm{q}^{2}\right\rangle$
If $u_{k}$ 's are the eigen function with eigenvaluescmint inen

$$
\mathrm{H}=\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

H is the operator for number of particle in mode k ,

$$
\mathrm{H}=\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

Where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and the number operator $\mathrm{N}=\sum_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$
For single mode, $\left[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}\right]=1$ and $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}$

## Occupation number state:

If $|\mathrm{n}\rangle$ is the eigen state of number operator then,
$\mathrm{N}|\mathrm{n}\rangle=\mathrm{n}|\mathrm{n}\rangle ;$
Orthonormality condition: $\langle\mathrm{n} \mid \mathrm{m}\rangle=\delta_{\mathrm{nm}}$;
Completeness relation: $\sum_{\mathrm{n}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle\langle\mathrm{n}|=1$.
These states are known as occupation number states.
Commutation relation for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N}$ and interpretation of $a$ :
$[a, N]=\left[a, a^{\dagger} a\right]=\left[a, a^{\dagger}\right] a=a$
$\mathrm{aN}-\mathrm{Na}=\mathrm{a}$
$\mathrm{Na}=\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{N}-1)$
In general $f(N) a=a f(N-1)$
Interpretation of a: If $|\mathrm{n}\rangle$ is the occupation number state with n particles then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}|\mathrm{n}\rangle= & \mathrm{n}|\mathrm{n}\rangle \\
\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{a}|\mathrm{n}\rangle & ) \\
= & a(\mathrm{~N}-1)|\mathrm{n}\rangle \\
& =\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{n}-1)|\mathrm{n}\rangle \\
& =(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{a}|\mathrm{n}\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

'a' decreases the occupation number by 1 . So, it is called annihilation operator.
Commutation relation for $a, N$ and interpretation of $a t$ :
$\left[\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}, \mathrm{N}\right]=\left[\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}, \mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}\right]=\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}\left[\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}, \mathrm{a}\right]=-\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}$
$\mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Na}^{\dagger}=-\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}$
$\mathrm{Na}^{\dagger}=\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}(\mathrm{N}+1)$
In general $f(N) a^{\dagger}=a^{\dagger} f(N+1)$
Interpretation of a: If $|\mathrm{n}\rangle$ is the occupation number state with n particles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}|\mathrm{n}\rangle=\mathrm{n}|\mathrm{n}\rangle \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}|\mathrm{n}\rangle\right.) \\
&=\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}(\mathrm{N}+1)|\mathrm{n}\rangle \\
&=\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}(\mathrm{n}+1)|\mathrm{n}\rangle \\
&=(\mathrm{n}+1)\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}|\mathrm{n}\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

' $a \dagger$ ' increases the occupation number by 1 . So, it is called creation operator.
Action of $|\mathrm{n}\rangle$ on a and $\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}$ :
Since ' $a$ ' is annihilation operator so we can write
$a|n\rangle=\alpha|n-1\rangle$
Hermitian conjugate of Eq.(13) is
$\langle\mathrm{n}| \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}=\langle\mathrm{n}-1| \alpha^{*}$
Scalar Product of (13) and (14) is
$\langle n| a^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}|\mathrm{n}\rangle=\langle\mathrm{n}-1| \alpha^{*} \alpha|\mathrm{n}-1\rangle ; \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}$
$\langle\mathrm{n}| \mathrm{N}|\mathrm{n}\rangle=|\alpha|^{2}\langle\mathrm{n}-1 \mid \mathrm{n}-1\rangle ; \mathrm{N}|\mathrm{n}\rangle=\mathrm{n}|\mathrm{n}\rangle$
$\mathrm{n}\langle\mathrm{n} \mid \mathrm{n}\rangle=|\alpha|^{2}\langle\mathrm{n}-1 \mid \mathrm{n}-1\rangle$
$\mathrm{n}=|\alpha|^{2} ;\langle\mathrm{n} \mid \mathrm{n}\rangle=\langle\mathrm{n}-1 \mid \mathrm{n}-1\rangle=1$
$\alpha=\sqrt{\mathrm{n}}$
Hence


Hermitian conjugate of Eq. $(15)$ is नो भदः कतवो वन्तु विश्वतः
$\langle\mathrm{n}| \mathrm{a}=\langle\mathrm{n}+1| \beta^{*}$
Scalar Product of Eq.(15) andSEq.(16) is $\langle\mathrm{n}| \mathrm{aa}^{\dagger}|\mathrm{n}\rangle=\langle\mathrm{n}+1| \beta^{*} \beta|\mathrm{n}+1\rangle ; \mathrm{N}^{4}$ थि $\mathrm{man}^{\dagger}$
$\langle\mathrm{n}| \mathrm{N}+1|\mathrm{n}\rangle=|\beta|^{2}\langle\mathrm{n}+1 \| \mathrm{n}+1\rangle ; \mathrm{N}|\mathrm{n}\rangle=\mathrm{n}|\mathrm{n}\rangle$
$(\mathrm{n}+1)\langle\mathrm{n} \| \mathrm{n}\rangle=|\beta|^{2}\langle\mathrm{n}+1 \| \mathrm{n}+1\rangle$
$\mathrm{n}+1=|\beta|^{2} ;\langle\mathrm{n} \| \mathrm{n}\rangle=\langle\mathrm{n}+1 \| \mathrm{n}+1\rangle=1$
$\beta=\sqrt{\mathrm{n}+1}$
Hence

$$
\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}|\mathrm{n}\rangle=\sqrt{\mathrm{n}+1}|\mathrm{n}+1\rangle
$$

## Generalization to multimode:

$\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}\right]=0$
$\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\dagger}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}^{\dagger}\right]=0$
$\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}^{\dagger}\right]=\delta_{\mathrm{kk}^{\prime}}$
$\left|\left\{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}\right\rangle=\left|\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}, \ldots \ldots \ldots ..\right\rangle$
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}\left|\left\{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}\right\rangle=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}\left|\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots ., \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}, \ldots \ldots \ldots ..\right\rangle$
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}\left|\left\{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}\right\rangle=\sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}}\left|\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}-1, \ldots \ldots \ldots.\right\rangle$
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\dagger}\left|\left\{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}\right\rangle=\sqrt{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}+1}\left|\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}+1, \ldots \ldots \ldots.\right\rangle$

## COHERENT STATE ( $|\alpha\rangle$ ):

It is an eigenstate of annihilation operator ' $a$ '.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}|\alpha\rangle=\alpha|\alpha\rangle \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\alpha$ be complex number

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\alpha_{r}+i \alpha_{i}=|\alpha| e^{i \theta_{\alpha}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Relation between $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|n\rangle$ :

We know that $|\alpha\rangle=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle$,
using equation (17)

## आ नो भदर्ता करव $\left.\sum_{n} a|n\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} \alpha \mid n\right\}$

$\because \mathrm{a}|\mathrm{n}\rangle=\sqrt{\mathrm{n}}|\mathrm{n}-1\rangle$

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle=\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n}|n\rangle
$$

$$
\sqrt{1} c_{1}|0\rangle+\sqrt{2} c_{2}|1\rangle+\sqrt{3} c_{3}|2\rangle+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .=\alpha c_{0}|0\rangle+\alpha c_{1}|1\rangle+\alpha c_{2}|2\rangle+.
$$

$\qquad$
Comparing the coefficients of the occupation number states on both sides,
$|0\rangle \Rightarrow \mathrm{c}_{1}=\frac{\alpha \mathrm{c}_{0}}{\sqrt{1}}$
$|1\rangle \Rightarrow \mathrm{c}_{2}=\frac{\alpha \mathrm{c}_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}=\frac{\alpha \cdot \alpha \mathrm{c}_{0}}{\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{1}}=\frac{\alpha^{2} \mathrm{c}_{0}}{\sqrt{1.2}}$
$|2\rangle \Rightarrow c_{3}=\frac{\alpha c_{2}}{\sqrt{3}}=\frac{\alpha \alpha^{2} c_{0}}{\sqrt{3} \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{1}}=\frac{\alpha^{3} c_{0}}{\sqrt{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}}$
and so on
$|n\rangle \Rightarrow c_{n}=\frac{\alpha c_{2}}{\sqrt{3}}=\frac{\alpha \alpha^{2} c_{0}}{\sqrt{3} \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{1}}=\frac{\alpha^{3} c_{0}}{\sqrt{1.2 .3}}=\ldots \ldots \ldots=\frac{\alpha^{n} c_{0}}{\sqrt{1.2 .3 .4 \ldots \ldots . . . . . . ~}}=\frac{\alpha^{n} c_{0}}{\sqrt{n!}}$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\alpha\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n} c_{0}}{\sqrt{n!}}|n\rangle \\
& |\alpha\rangle=c_{0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sqrt{n!}}|n\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

## Evaluation of $\mathrm{c}_{0}$ :

Use normalization condition,

$$
\langle\alpha \mid \alpha\rangle=1
$$

$$
1=\left|c_{0}\right|^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2 n}}{n!}=\left|c_{0}\right|^{2} e^{|\alpha|^{2}}
$$

$$
\left|c_{0}\right|^{2}=\mathrm{e}^{-|\alpha|^{2}} ; \mathrm{c}_{0}=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}}
$$

Hence

## Note:

Then


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \text { आ नो भदा: } \\
& \text { If }|\mathrm{n}\rangle=\frac{\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{n}!}}|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
|\alpha\rangle=
$$

$\exp \left(-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}\right) \exp \left(\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}\right)$ is not hermitian. The coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ can also be generated by displacing the vacuum $|0\rangle$

$$
|\alpha\rangle=\mathrm{D}(\alpha)|0\rangle
$$

where $\mathrm{D}(\alpha)$ is called displacement operator defined by,

$$
D(\alpha)=\exp \left(\alpha a^{\dagger}-\alpha^{*} a\right)
$$

is hermitian. The relation $|\alpha\rangle=\mathrm{D}(\alpha)|0\rangle$ is important as it show how the coherent state can be generated..

## Important Properties of the displacement operator

(1) $\therefore|\alpha\rangle=\mathrm{D}(\alpha)|0\rangle$

Proof: If A and B are operator and satisfies the following :
then

$$
\begin{gathered}
[[\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}], \mathrm{A}]=\llbracket \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}], \mathrm{B}]=0 \\
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}[\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}]}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\frac{1}{2}[\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}]}
\end{gathered}
$$

is called Baker Campbell Housdroff Identity (BCH).
From above $\exp \left(\alpha a^{\dagger}-\alpha^{*} a\right)$, consider $A=\alpha a^{\dagger}$ and $B=-\alpha^{*}$ a, then using BCH identity

$$
\exp \left(\alpha a^{\dagger}-\alpha^{*} a\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^{*}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha a^{\dagger},-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}\right]}
$$

Since $\left[a^{\dagger}, a\right]=-1$
Therefore $\exp \left(\alpha a^{\dagger}-\alpha^{*} a\right)=\mathrm{q}^{\alpha a} \mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^{*}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha \alpha^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{-}$
so $\quad D(\alpha)|0\rangle=e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^{*}}|0\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha a^{\dagger}}|0\rangle$

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\alpha\rangle=e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sqrt{n!}}|n\rangle}  \tag{1}\\
|n\rangle=\frac{a^{\dagger^{n}}}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.0-\alpha^{*} a\right\rangle=\left(1-\frac{\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}}{1!} 0 \frac{\alpha^{* 2} \mathrm{a}^{2}}{2!}-\ldots \ldots,\right)^{0} 0\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1) and (2)

$$
\therefore|\alpha\rangle=\mathrm{D}(\alpha)|0\rangle
$$

Hence $\mathrm{D}(\alpha)=\exp \left(\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}}$ is hermitian.
(2) $\exp \left(\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}}$

Proof: We know that the displacement operator is $\mathrm{D}(\alpha)=\exp \left(\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}\right)$,
Consider $\mathrm{A}=\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}$ and $\mathrm{B}=-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}$, then using BCH identity


Now, if we consider $A=-\alpha^{*}$ a and $B=\alpha a^{\dagger}$ and use then use $B C H$ identity we get,


Since $\left[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}\right]=1$
Therefore $\exp \left(-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}+\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^{*}} \mathrm{a}^{\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}}$
hence $\mathrm{D}(\alpha)=\exp \left(\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^{*}} \mathrm{a}^{\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}}$
(3) $\mathrm{D}(\alpha) \mathrm{D}(\beta)=\exp \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha \beta^{*}-\alpha^{*} \beta\right)\right] \mathrm{D}(\alpha+\beta)$

Proof: $\quad$ Since $\quad D(\alpha)=\exp \left(\alpha a^{\dagger}-\alpha^{*} a\right)$ and $D(\beta)=\exp \left(\beta a^{\dagger}-\beta^{*} a\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
D(\alpha) D(\beta)=\exp \left(\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}-\alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}\right) \exp \left(\beta \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}-\beta^{*} \mathrm{a}\right) \\
\mathrm{D}(\alpha) \mathrm{D}(\beta)=\exp \left\{(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}-\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{a}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using BCH identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}[\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}]} \\
& \mathrm{A}=(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}, \mathrm{B}=-\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
D(\alpha) D(\beta)=\exp \left\{(\alpha+\beta) a^{\dagger}-\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) a\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =e^{(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) a} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left[(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger},-\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{a}\right]} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\left[(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger},\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{a}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now calculate $\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\left[(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger},\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{a}\right]}$,
$\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\left[(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger},\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{a}\right]}=\exp \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\left[\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}, \alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}\right] \backslash+\left[\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}, \beta^{*} \mathrm{a}\right]+\left[\beta \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}, \alpha^{*} \mathrm{a}\right]+\left[\beta \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}, \beta^{*} \mathrm{a}\right]\right\}\right.$

$$
=\exp \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha \alpha^{2}\left[a^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}\right]+\alpha \beta \mid \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}, \mathrm{a}\right]+\beta \alpha^{*}\left[\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}, \mathrm{a}\right]+|\beta|^{2}\left[\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}, \mathrm{a}\right]\right\}
$$

$$
=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \alpha^{2}=\frac{1}{2}|\beta|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha \beta^{*}-\frac{1}{2} \beta \alpha^{*}
$$

$$
\int_{n}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{2}|\alpha+\beta|-\frac{1}{e^{2}}\left(\alpha \beta^{*}-\beta \alpha^{*}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{D}(\alpha) \mathrm{D}(\beta)=\exp \left\{(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}-\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{a}\right\}=\mathrm{e}^{(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger},\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{a}\right]}$

$$
=\mathrm{e}^{(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha+\beta|^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha \beta^{*}-\beta \alpha^{*}\right)}
$$

$$
\left.\left.=\exp ^{0}(\alpha+\beta) a^{\dagger}-\alpha^{*}+\beta^{*}\right) a\right\} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha+\beta|^{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha \beta^{*}-\beta \alpha^{*}\right)}
$$

## Solve the following:

(i) $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}(\xi)$ a $\mathrm{D}(\xi)=\mathrm{a}+\xi$
(ii) $\mathrm{D}^{\dagger}(\xi) \mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{D}(\xi)=\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}+\xi^{*}$
(iii) $\mathrm{D}^{-1}(\xi)=\mathrm{D}(\xi)=\mathrm{D}(-\xi)$

## E Learning Modules

## Properties of Coherent States:

## (1) Coherent states are not orthogonal.

## Proof:

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\alpha\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\mathrm{n}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{n}!}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle} \\
|\beta\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|\beta|^{2}}{2} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{\mathrm{n}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{n}!}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle} \\
\langle\alpha \mid \beta\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(|\alpha|^{2}+|\beta|^{2}-2 \alpha^{*} \beta\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

(i) If $\alpha=\beta$ then $\langle\alpha \mid \beta\rangle=1$ coherent states are normalized.
(ii) If $\alpha \neq \beta$ then


Hence Coherent states $\frac{\text { are }}{\text { 度 }}$ not orthogonal, it may be orthogonatich $|\alpha-\beta| \gg 1$. For large separation of the eigen value i.e. $|\alpha-\beta| \gg 1, \alpha-\left.\beta\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ then $\langle\alpha \mid \beta\rangle=0$.
(2) Coherent states are overcomplete.

Proof: Consider $\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \alpha|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$
$\mathrm{d}^{2} \alpha \rightarrow$ represents the surface efement in complex plane like ds in real plane.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{ds}=\mathrm{dxdy}=\operatorname{Rdrd} \theta \\
& \mathrm{d}^{2} \alpha=\mathrm{d} \alpha_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~d} \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}=|\alpha| \mathrm{d}|\alpha| \mathrm{d} \theta_{\alpha} \\
& \int d^{2} \alpha|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|=\int d^{2} \alpha e^{-|\alpha|^{2}} \sum_{n, m} \frac{\alpha^{n} \alpha^{* m}}{\sqrt{n!} \sqrt{m!}}|n\rangle\langle m| \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}|\alpha| \mathrm{d}|\alpha| \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{-|\alpha|^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}} \frac{|\alpha|^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}) \theta_{\alpha}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{n}!} \sqrt{\mathrm{m}!}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle\langle\mathrm{m}| \\
& \because \alpha=|\alpha| \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \alpha \\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}) \theta} \alpha \mathrm{d} \theta_{\alpha}=2 \pi \delta_{\mathrm{nm}} \\
& \text { If we write }|\alpha|=X \text { then } \mathrm{d}^{2} \alpha|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty}|\alpha| \mathrm{d}|\alpha| e^{-\alpha|\alpha|}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hence } \\
& \frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|=1
\end{aligned}
$$

This is completeness relation for coherent state.
Over completeness of Coherent states: Overcompleteness of coherent states means that any state can be written in terms of the coherent state.
(i) We can write $|\alpha\rangle$ and $\langle\alpha|$ in an infinite number of ways.
(ii) We can resolve any state $|\alpha\rangle$ in terms of coherent states.

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\alpha\rangle=|\alpha\rangle .1 & =|\alpha\rangle \frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta|\beta\rangle\langle\beta| \\
= & \frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta\langle\beta \mid \alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(|\alpha|^{2}+|\beta|^{2}-2 \beta^{*} \alpha\right)\right]|\beta\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

## E Learning Modules

## (3) Expansion of states and operators in terms of the coherent states

Completeness relation for coherent state is $\frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|=1$
This gives,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi\rangle & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha \mid \psi\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha\langle\alpha \mid \psi\rangle|\alpha\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

similary for operator, F

If
then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F} & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \mathrm{F} \frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta|\beta\rangle\langle\beta| \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha \mathrm{~d}^{2} \beta\langle\alpha| \mathrm{F}|\beta\rangle|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\alpha \mid 0\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}} \\
&\langle\alpha \mid \psi\rangle=\mathrm{f}\left(\alpha^{*}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}} \\
&|\psi\rangle=\frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha|\alpha\rangle\langle\cdot \alpha \mid \psi\rangle \\
&=\frac{1}{\pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \alpha \mathrm{f}\left(\alpha^{*}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}}|\alpha\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the expansion of $|\psi\rangle$ in terms of coherent state.

## (4) Coherent states are minimum uncertainty states:

Analogy of single mode radiation with a harmonic oscillator, in radiation gauge, $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{A}}=0$, where $\vec{A}$ is vector potential then $\vec{A}$ can be written as


In natural unit $\hbar=\mathrm{c}=1$,
K is wave vector, $\varepsilon$ is unit vector
$\lambda$ denotes polarization (takes 2 values corresponds to 2 transverse mode of polarization)
V denotes Interaction Volume
$\overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}=-\vec{\nabla} \phi-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}} \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{\mathrm{A}}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}$
$\mathrm{B}=\vec{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{\mathrm{A}}$
$\overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}=-\vec{\nabla} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{A}}_{0}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}} \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{\mathrm{A}}}{\partial \mathrm{t}} ; \mathrm{A}^{\mu}=(\phi, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{A}})$
For single mode, vector potential

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega \mathrm{~V}}} \varepsilon\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})}\right]
$$

Hamiltonian: $\quad \mathrm{H}=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}} \frac{1}{8 \pi}\left[\dot{\mathrm{~A}}^{2}+(\vec{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{\mathrm{A}})^{2}\right]$
This reduces to $\mathrm{H}=\frac{\omega}{2}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{aa}^{\dagger}\right)=\omega\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}+\frac{1}{2}\right)$. This Hamiltonian is for single mode radiation.

Define two hermitian operators be $\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{a}\right)$ and $\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}-\mathrm{a}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{q}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{a}\right) \text { - coordinate operator } \\
& \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{i} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}-\mathrm{a}\right) \text { - momentum operator }
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows $[q, p]=i$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{q}^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \omega}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{a}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \omega}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger 2}+\mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{aa}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{a}^{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{p}^{2}=-\frac{\omega}{2}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}-\mathrm{a}\right)^{2}=-\frac{\omega}{2}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger 2}-\mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{a}^{2}\right) \\
& \omega^{2} \mathrm{q}^{2}+\mathrm{p}^{2}=\omega\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{aa}^{\dagger}\right) \\
& \mathrm{H}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega^{2} \mathrm{q}^{2}+\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)=\frac{\omega}{2}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{aa}^{\dagger}\right)=\omega\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}+\frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So for one dimensional harmonies oscillator, $[q, p]=i$,
Hence single mode radiation is equivalent to unit mass one dimpensional harmonic oscillator.
Thus annihilation and ereation operator can be written in terms of coordinate and position operator.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3ा नो भaन: } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}(\omega q+\dot{p}) \\
& a^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}(\omega q-i p)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Uncertainties in $q$ and $p$ for coherent state:

 $\Delta p$ are square root of the variances of $q$ and $p$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta q=\sqrt{\left\langle q^{2}\right\rangle-\langle q\rangle^{2}} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{p}=\sqrt{\left\langle\mathrm{p}^{2}\right\rangle-\langle\mathrm{p}\rangle^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathrm{q}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{a}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathrm{q}\rangle & =\langle\alpha| \mathrm{q}|\alpha\rangle \\
& =\langle\alpha| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{a}\right)|\alpha\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}\left\{\langle\alpha| \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}|\alpha\rangle+\langle\alpha| \mathrm{a}|\alpha\rangle\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}\left\{\alpha^{*}+\alpha\right\} \quad \text { Since } \mathrm{a}|\alpha\rangle=\alpha|\alpha\rangle \text { and }\langle\alpha| \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}=\langle\alpha| \alpha^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha=\alpha_{r}+i \alpha_{i}$ then $\alpha^{*}+\alpha=2 \alpha_{r}$ hence

$$
\langle q\rangle=\frac{2 \alpha_{r}}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega}} \alpha_{r}
$$

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{q}^{2}\right\rangle=\langle\alpha| \mathrm{q}^{2}|\alpha\rangle
$$

$$
\left.=\langle\alpha| \frac{1}{2 \omega}\left(a^{\dagger}+a\right)^{2} \right\rvert\, \text { केसीद }
$$

$$
\left.=\frac{1}{2 \omega}\left\{\left(\rho \alpha a^{2}|\alpha\rangle+\left\langle\alpha a^{2} \mid \alpha\right\rangle+\langle\alpha|(a a\rangle\right) \alpha a\right)|\alpha\rangle\right\}
$$

$$
\left.\frac{1-}{2}\left\{\left(\alpha\left|a^{+2}\right| \alpha\right\rangle+\langle\alpha| a^{2}|\alpha\rangle+\langle\alpha|\left(2 a^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}+1\right) \mid \alpha\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\stackrel{1}{2 \omega}\left\{\alpha^{* 2}+\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha^{*} \alpha+1\right\}
$$

$$
Q \frac{1}{2 \omega}\left\{\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha\right)^{2}+\overrightarrow{+1}\right\} \text { वो वन्तु विशकत: } 0
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2 \phi}\left\{4 \alpha_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+1\right\}=\frac{2 \alpha_{n}^{2}}{\omega}+\frac{1}{2 \omega}
$$

hence variance of $q$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { कि/बेद विशकी } \\
& (\Delta \mathrm{q})^{2}=\left\langle\mathrm{q}^{2}\right\rangle-\langle\mathrm{q}\rangle^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we can calculate

$$
\langle\mathrm{p}\rangle=\sqrt{2 \omega} \alpha_{\mathrm{i}},\left\langle\mathrm{p}^{2}\right\rangle=2 \omega \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}+\frac{\omega}{2} \text {.and }
$$

variance of $\mathrm{p} ;(\Delta \mathrm{p})^{2}=\left\langle\mathrm{p}^{2}\right\rangle-\langle\mathrm{p}\rangle^{2}=\frac{\omega}{2}$.Thus,

$$
(\Delta \mathrm{q})^{2}(\Delta \mathrm{p})^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \text { So, }(\Delta \mathrm{q})(\Delta \mathrm{p})=\frac{1}{2}
$$

This is the minimum value allowed by Heisenberg uncertainty principle. Hence, Coherent state is a minimum uncertainty state. It is the most classical state.

## (5) Poisson distribution of Photons:

Let operator $\Omega$ has several eigen values $\omega_{\mathrm{n}}$ corresponding to eigen states $\left|\omega_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle$

$$
\Omega\left|\omega_{n}\right\rangle=\omega_{n}\left|\omega_{n}\right\rangle
$$

If we measure the physical values of operator $\Omega$, we get the variables $\omega_{\mathrm{n}}$, different values corresponds to $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2,3, \ldots \ldots \ldots$
Suppose we have a mixed state,
$|\psi\rangle=c_{0}\left|\omega_{0}\right\rangle+c_{1}\left|\omega_{1}\right\rangle+c_{2}\left|\omega_{2}\right\rangle+c_{3}\left|\omega_{3}\right\rangle+\ldots \ldots$.
Then $|\psi\rangle$ is an arbitrary or mixed state can be expanded linearly in terms of a complete set of orthonormal states $\omega_{\mathrm{n}}$. Probability that the measurement of $\omega_{0}$ is $\left|\mathrm{c}_{0}\right|^{2}, \omega_{1}$ is $\left|\mathrm{c}_{1}\right|^{2}$ and so on


Coherent state is $|\alpha\rangle=\mathrm{e}$
$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sqrt{n!}}|n\rangle$ so it can be written


Now,


$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{P}(0)=\left|\mathrm{c}_{0}\right|^{2}=|\langle 0 \mid \alpha\rangle|^{2}=\mathrm{e}^{-|\alpha|^{2}} \\
\mathrm{P}(1)=\left|\mathrm{c}_{1}\right|^{2}=|\langle 1 \mid \alpha\rangle|^{2}=\mathrm{e}^{-|\alpha|^{2}} \frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{1!} \\
\mathrm{P}(2)=\left|\mathrm{c}_{2}\right|^{2}=|\langle 2 \mid \alpha\rangle|^{2}=\mathrm{e}^{-|\alpha|^{2}} \frac{|\alpha|^{4}}{2!}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n})=\left|\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|^{2}=|\langle\mathrm{n} \mid \alpha\rangle|^{2}=\mathrm{e}^{-|\alpha|^{2}} \frac{|\alpha|^{2 \mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}!}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{e}^{-|\alpha|^{2}} \frac{\alpha^{2 \mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}!}
$$

$$
\langle\alpha| \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{a}|\alpha\rangle=\alpha^{*} \alpha=|\alpha|^{2}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}=\text { average number of photons. }
$$

Thus the expectation value of number of photons is the average number of photons in the coherent state.

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{e}^{-\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \frac{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}!},
$$

which is Poisson distribution for number of photons in the coherent state

$$
\langle\alpha| a^{+2} a^{2}|\alpha\rangle=\alpha^{* 2} \alpha^{2}=|\alpha|^{4}=\bar{n}^{2}
$$

The variance of photon in coherent stater पुसीद



$$
=|\alpha|^{2}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Thus for Poisson distributiongoth variance and mean are equat.
For large values of $n$ Poisson disffibation approaches eqagssian distribution.

## Note:

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{e}^{-\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \frac{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}!}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}+1)=\mathrm{e}^{-\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \frac{(\overline{\mathrm{n}})^{\mathrm{n}+1}}{(\mathrm{n}+1)!}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}+1)}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n})}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

## Case (1) If $\bar{n}$ is an integer

(i) For $\mathrm{n}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1)}{\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}})}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}{\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1}
$$

$$
(\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1) \mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1)=\overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}})
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1)=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}})}{(\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1)}
$$

$$
\text { Since } \frac{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}{(\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1)}<1
$$

Therefore $\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1)<\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}})$ If $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$ is an integer
(ii) For $\mathrm{n}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}})}{\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}}-1)}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{n}}}{\overline{\mathrm{n}}!} \frac{(\overline{\mathrm{n}}-1)}{\mathrm{e}^{-\overline{\mathrm{n}}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{n}-1}}=1 \\
& \mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}}+1)=\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}})
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) For $\mathrm{n}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}-2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}}-1)}{\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}}-2)}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}{\overline{\mathrm{n}}-1}>1 \\
& \mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}}-1)>\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{n}}-2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Conclusion: If $\bar{n}$ is an integer out of $P(0), P(1), \ldots$ etc. $P(\overline{\mathrm{n}})$. $P(\overline{\mathrm{~g}})$ is the highest.



## Case (2) If $\bar{n}$ is not an integer

For $\mathrm{n}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}+\varepsilon ; \varepsilon$ is a fraction <1. पस्तिद
(i) For $\mathrm{n}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}_{0}$
(ii) For $\mathrm{n}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{n}_{0}+1\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{n}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{n}_{0}+\varepsilon}<1 \\
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{n}_{0}+1 \mathrm{~h}+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{n}_{0}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{n}_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{n}_{0}-\mathrm{l}\right)}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{0}+\varepsilon}{\mathrm{n}_{0}}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}_{0}}>0
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{n}_{0}-1\right)\left\langle\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{c}_{9}\right)\right.
$$

(6) Show that a $\left.{ }^{\dagger}|\alpha\rangle=\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}|\alpha\rangle+\alpha \sqrt{\partial r}\right\}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\alpha\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\mathrm{n}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{n}!}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle \\
\mathrm{e}^{\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}}|\alpha\rangle=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\mathrm{n}}}{\sqrt{n!}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}}|\alpha\rangle\right) \\
=\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\mathrm{n}}}{\sqrt{n!}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle\right) \\
\\
=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{n} \alpha^{\mathrm{n}-1}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{n}!}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle \\
\\
=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\mathrm{n}-1}}{\sqrt{(\mathrm{n}-1)!}} \sqrt{\mathrm{n}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

Replace n by $\mathrm{n}+1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(e^{\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}}|\alpha\rangle\right) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sqrt{(n)!}} \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sqrt{(n)!}} a^{+}|n\rangle \\
& =a^{+} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sqrt{(n)!}}|n\rangle \\
& =a^{+}\left(e^{\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}}|\alpha\rangle\right) \\
& =e^{\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}} a^{+}|\alpha\rangle \\
a^{+}|\alpha\rangle \quad & e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(e^{\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}}|\alpha\rangle\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}(|\alpha\rangle)+\alpha^{*}|\alpha\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

(7) Show that $e^{i \theta a^{\dagger}}{ }^{\dagger}|\alpha\rangle=\left|\alpha e^{i \theta}\right\rangle$

## Proof:



If $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ then

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta \mathrm{~N}}|\alpha\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{\pi}{2} \mathrm{~N}}|\alpha\rangle=\left|\alpha \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{\pi}{2}}\right\rangle=|\mathrm{i} \alpha\rangle
$$

## Prove the following:

(1) $\langle\alpha \mid 2 \alpha\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2}}$
(2) $(\langle\alpha|+\langle-\alpha|) a(|\alpha\rangle+|-\alpha\rangle)=0$
(3) $\langle\alpha|\left[\mathrm{a}^{2}, \mathrm{a}^{+2}\right]|\alpha\rangle=4|\alpha|^{2}+2$
(4) $\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=\frac{i}{2}$, where hermitian operators $X_{1,2}$ are defined by $a=X_{1}+i X_{2}$
(5) $(\langle 2 \alpha|+\langle\alpha|)(|-2 \alpha\rangle-|-\alpha\rangle)=0$
(6) $\int d^{2} \alpha|\alpha\rangle\langle-\alpha|=\pi \sum_{\mathrm{n} 0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle\langle\mathrm{n}|$

## Coherent State as a Gaussian Wave Packet

Let $|X\rangle$ is the eigenstate when the positionngftherparticle in precisely stated by $X$ then

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{op}}|\mathrm{X}\rangle=\chi|\mathrm{X}\rangle
$$

Where $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{op}}$ is position/operator
(i) Orthonormality condition: $\left\langle\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mid \mathrm{X}\right\rangle=\delta\left(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$
(ii) Completeness relation: $\int \mathrm{dX}|\mathrm{X}\rangle\langle\mathrm{X}|=1$
(iii) Mean position- given by the expectation value

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\psi| X_{\mathrm{op}}|\psi\rangle & =\langle\psi| X_{\mathrm{op}} \int \mathrm{dX}|\mathrm{X}\rangle\langle X \mid \psi\rangle / \overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{G} \\
& =\int \mathrm{dX}\langle\psi| \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{op}}|\mathrm{X}\rangle\langle\mathrm{X} \mid \psi\rangle \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} X\langle\psi| \chi|X\rangle\langle X \mid \psi\rangle \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} X \chi\langle\psi \mid X\rangle\langle X \mid \psi\rangle \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} X \chi \psi^{*}(X) \psi(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives position probability density $\psi^{*}(\mathrm{X}) \psi(\mathrm{X})$. The Configuration space function for the coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$, defined by $\left\langle\mathrm{q}^{\prime} \mid \alpha\right\rangle$,

If $\left|q^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is the eigen state, then $q\left|q^{\prime}\right\rangle=q^{\prime}\left|q^{\prime}\right\rangle$
$\mathrm{q}=$ coordinate operator, it is quantum number and $\mathrm{q}^{\prime}=$ eigen value, it is c-number We know that $\mathrm{a}|0\rangle=0$. For single mode Harmonic Oscillator $\mathrm{a}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}(\omega \mathrm{q}+\mathrm{ip})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle q^{\prime}\right| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}(\omega q+i p)|0\rangle=0 \\
& \left\langle q^{\prime}\right|(\omega q+i p)|0\rangle=0 \\
& \omega q^{\prime}\left\langle q^{\prime} \mid 0\right\rangle+i p\left\langle q^{\prime} \mid 0\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p=-i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\prime}}$
$\omega \mathrm{q}^{\prime}\left\langle\mathrm{q}^{\prime} \mid 0\right\rangle+\mathrm{i}\left(-\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{q}^{\prime}}\right)\left\langle\mathrm{q}^{\prime} \mid 0\right\rangle=0$
$\omega q^{\prime}\left\langle q^{\prime} \mid 0\right\rangle+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\prime}}\left\langle q^{\prime} \mid 0\right\rangle=0$
$\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\prime}}\left\langle q^{\prime} \mid 0\right\rangle=-\omega q^{\prime}\left\langle q^{\prime} \mid 0\right\rangle$,
which is similar to the differential guation $\frac{\partial \mathrm{y}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}$
$y=A e^{-\frac{1}{2} a x^{2}}$
So $\left\langle\mathrm{q}^{\prime} \mid 0\right\rangle=A \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \omega \mathrm{q}^{\prime} 2} ;$ A is constant of integration.
Using normalization condition 3 नो भदरः कतयो वन्तु विशकतः


$$
|A|^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\omega}}=1 \text { which implies } \mathrm{A}=\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \text { hence }
$$

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{q}^{\prime} \mid 0\right\rangle=\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \omega \mathrm{q}^{\prime} 2}
$$

## Coherent state is

it can be written as

$$
|\alpha\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{\mathrm{n}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{n}!}}|\mathrm{n}\rangle,
$$

$$
|\alpha\rangle=\exp \left(-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}\right) \exp \left(\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}\right)|0\rangle
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}^{\alpha \mathrm{a}}|0\rangle= & \left(1+\frac{\alpha \mathrm{a}}{1!}+\frac{\alpha^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}}{2!}-\ldots \ldots \ldots .\right)|0\rangle \\
& =|0\rangle+\frac{\alpha \mathrm{a}}{1!}|0\rangle+\frac{\alpha^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}}{2!}|0\rangle-\ldots \ldots \ldots \\
& =|0\rangle \quad \text { since }(\mathrm{a}|0\rangle=0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $|\alpha\rangle=\exp \left(-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}\right) \exp \left(\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}\right)|0\rangle=\exp \left(-\frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{2}\right) \exp \left(\alpha \mathrm{a}^{\dagger}\right) \exp (\alpha \mathrm{a})|0\rangle$
Use BCH Identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{A} e^{B}=e^{A+B} e^{\frac{1}{[A, B]}} \\
A= & \exp \left(\alpha a^{\dagger}\right) \text { and } B=\exp (\alpha a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

therefore

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{q}^{\prime} \mid \alpha\right\rangle=\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{e}^{\left.-\left.\frac{1}{2}| | \alpha\right|^{2}+\alpha^{2}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha \sqrt{2 \omega q^{\prime}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \omega \mathrm{q}^{\prime} 2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathrm{q}^{\prime} \mid \alpha\right\rangle & =\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{e}^{\left.-\left.\frac{1}{2}| | \alpha\right|^{2}+\alpha^{2}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha \sqrt{2 \omega q^{\prime}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \omega q^{\prime} 2}} \\
& =\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{r}}\right|^{2}+\left|\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right|^{2}+\alpha_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}+\alpha_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}-\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}+2 i \alpha_{\mathrm{r}} \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right)+\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{r}}+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \sqrt{2 \omega} \mathrm{q}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \omega \mathrm{q}^{\prime 2}\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp \left[-2 \alpha_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+2 \alpha_{\mathrm{r}} \sqrt{2 \omega} \mathrm{q}^{\prime}-\omega \mathrm{q}^{\prime 2}+\text { imaginary part }\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp \left[-\omega\left(\mathrm{q}^{\prime 2}-2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega}} \alpha_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{q}^{\prime}+\frac{2}{\omega} \alpha_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { and quadrature distribution is } \quad\left|\left\langle\mathrm{q}^{\prime} \mid \alpha\right\rangle\right|^{2}=\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[-\omega\left(\mathrm{q}^{\prime}-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega}} \alpha_{\mathrm{r}}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

This is Gaussian wave packet which is centereataryc $=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega}} \alpha_{r}$. Coherent state can be expressed in terms of Gaussian, pace packet.s

## Hermitian Operator $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ :

For the physical meaning of the canonical momentum operator $p$ and canonical operator $q$ of the field, we introduce two new hermitian operators ${ }^{2} \mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \omega}{\hbar}} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{X}_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \omega}} \mathrm{p}, \text { in quantum unft } \hbar=1 \text { then } \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}}=\sqrt{2 \omega} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{X}_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \omega}} \mathrm{p} \\
& \mathrm{X}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{a}^{+}\right), \mathrm{X}_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}^{+}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Which gives $\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]=\frac{i}{2}$. For single mode radiation vector potential is

$$
\begin{align*}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{A}} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega \mathrm{~V}}} \varepsilon\left[\mathrm{ae}^{\mathrm{i}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})}+\mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega \mathrm{~V}}} \varepsilon\left[\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{i} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})}+\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega \mathrm{~V}}} \varepsilon\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})}\right)+\mathrm{iX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})}\right)\right]  \tag{2}\\
& =\sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \mathrm{~V}}} \varepsilon\left[\mathrm{X}_{1} \cos (\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})+\mathrm{X}_{2} \sin (\overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\omega \mathrm{t})\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Which shows that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are the amplitude operators of the filed whose phase are orthogonal. Thus $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ are called quadrature operators.

## Uncertainties in $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ for coherent state:

Let $\Delta \mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{X}_{2}$ be the uncertainty in $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ respectively. $\Delta \mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{X}_{2}$ are square root of the variances of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{X}_{1}=\sqrt{\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{1}^{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{1}\right\rangle^{2}} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{X}_{2}=\sqrt{\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{2}^{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{2}\right\rangle^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For


Since $\alpha=\alpha_{r}+i \alpha_{i}$ then $\alpha^{*}+\alpha=2 \alpha_{r}$ hency

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{1}^{2}\right\rangle=\langle\alpha| \mathrm{X}_{1}^{2 \sqrt{2}}{ }^{2}
$$

$$
=\langle\alpha| \frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{a}\right)^{2}|\alpha\rangle
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\langle\alpha| \mathrm{a}^{\dagger 2}|\alpha\rangle+\langle\alpha| \mathrm{a}^{2}|\alpha\rangle+\langle\alpha|\left(\mathrm{aa}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}\right)|\alpha\rangle\right\}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\langle\alpha| \mathrm{a}^{\dagger 2}|\alpha\rangle+\langle\alpha| \mathrm{a}^{2}|\alpha\rangle+\langle\alpha|\left(2 \mathrm{a}^{\dagger} \mathrm{a}+1\right)|\alpha\rangle\right\}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\alpha^{* 2}+\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha^{*} \alpha+1\right\}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left(\alpha^{*}+\alpha\right)^{2}+1\right\}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{4}\left\{4 \alpha_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+1\right\}=\alpha_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}
$$

hence variance of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$

$$
\left(\Delta \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{2}=\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{1}^{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{1}\right\rangle^{2}=\frac{1}{4}
$$

Similarly we can calculate

$$
\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{2}\right\rangle=\alpha_{\mathrm{i}},\left\langle\mathrm{X}_{2}^{2}\right\rangle=\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \text {.and }
$$

variance of $X_{2} ;\left(\Delta X_{2}\right)^{2}=\left\langle X_{2}{ }^{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle X_{2}\right\rangle^{2}=\frac{1}{4}$.Thus,

$$
\left(\Delta \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{16} \text { So, }\left(\Delta \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)\left(\Delta \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4}
$$

This is the minimum value allowed by Heisenberg uncertainty principle. Hence, Coherent state is a minimum uncertainty state.


